

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,945 12/28/2000		/28/2000	Turkka Keinonen	297-010033-US(PAR)	7516	
7	590	04/10/2002				
Clarence A. Green				EXAMINER		
Perman & Green 425 Post Road				CRENSHAW	CRENSHAW, MARVIN P	
Fairfield, CT	06430			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2854	<u>-</u>	
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/750,945	KEINONEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marvin P. Crenshaw	2854					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 D</u>	<u> Pecember 2000</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>8</u>. 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "of said linguistic ambiguation" in line 2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17 and 18 provides for the use of a method, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu et al.

Yu et al teaches a keyboard arrangement including several keys (Fig. 3) for inputting characters by pressing the keys and wherein at least one key (Fig. 3) is used for entering at least two different characters, characterized in that it comprises means for detecting alternative sectional distributions of pressure (Fig. 3) on the at least one key and means for deducing the input character based on said distribution of pressure on the key. A keyboard (Fig. 3) arrangement in that it comprises means for deducing the input character also based on linguistic disambiguation.

A keyboard arrangement in that said means for detecting alternative sectional distributions of pressure comprise a movement sensitive detector (Fig. 4, segment B) attached to the key. A keyboard arrangement in that the key is triangular (Fig. 3) in shape or has three arms. A keyboard arrangement in that

the keys form two rows (Fig. 2) of keys and the keys of the two rows are interlaced. A keyboard arrangement in that the keys form a first row of keys and a second row of keys, the two rows of keys comprising three rows of characters marked on the keys (Fig. 2), wherein the upmost row of characters is marked to the first row of keys, the middle row of characters is marked alternately to the first and second row of keys and the lowest row of characters is marked to the second row of keys. Since 17 and 18 have no method steps they are included with the apparent claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marvin P. Crenshaw whose telephone number is (703) 308-0797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfield can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MPC

April 8, 2002

Dan Colilla

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "of said linguistic ambiguation" in line 2.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17 and 18 provides for the use of a method, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu et al.

Yu et al teaches a keyboard arrangement including several keys (Fig. 3) for inputting characters by pressing the keys and wherein at least one key (Fig. 3) is used for entering at least two different characters, characterized in that it comprises means for detecting alternative sectional distributions of pressure (Fig. 3) on the at least one key and means for deducing the input character based on said distribution of pressure on the key. A keyboard (Fig. 3) arrangement in that it comprises means for deducing the input character also based on linguistic disambiguation.

A keyboard arrangement in that said means for detecting alternative sectional distributions of pressure comprise a movement sensitive detector (Fig. 4, segment B) attached to the key. A keyboard arrangement in that the key is triangular (Fig. 3) in shape or has three arms. A keyboard arrangement in that

the keys form two rows (Fig. 2) of keys and the keys of the two rows are interlaced. A keyboard arrangement in that the keys form a first row of keys and a second row of keys, the two rows of keys comprising three rows of characters marked on the keys (Fig. 2), wherein the upmost row of characters is marked to the first row of keys, the middle row of characters is marked alternately to the first and second row of keys and the lowest row of characters is marked to the second row of keys. Since 17 and 18 have no method steps they are included with the apparent claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marvin P. Crenshaw whose telephone number is (703) 308-0797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfield can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MPC

April 8, 2002

Dan Colilla

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2854